Modeling the Opinion Dynamics of a Social Network

Ajay Saini

Third Annual PRIMES Conference

Mentor: Dr. Natasha Markuzon

May 19, 2013

Ajay Saini Modeling the Opinion Dynamics of a Social Network

向下 イヨト イヨト

Social Networks

- Consist of people, their opinions, and friendships
- People change their opinions over time by interacting with others
- People change their friendships over time through decisions based on certain factors



글 🖌 🖌 글

- How can we accurately model the changes that occur in a social network?
- ► Targets:
 - The change in opinion over time
 - The change in connections over time
- In Previous Work:
 - The change in opinion over time is well understood
 - The change in connections over time is poorly understood
- We focus on the interplay between connection and opinion changes over time

向下 イヨト イヨト

Why is it Interesting?

- Model can predict the future opinion spread and connectivity of the network
- Can understand how different types of societies behave over time
 - Example: conservative vs. non-conservative societies
- Connects to problems in optimization:
 - How can we optimize the spread of an opinion throughout a network?



A Data Driven Modeling Approach

- A study of students in a Harvard Dormitory over several months
- Four main survey times
 - September 2008
 - October 2008
 - December 2008
 - March 2009
- Students record responses to questions asking for their:
 - Overall healthiness of their diet
 - Number of hours of weekly aerobic
 - Students they consider to be close friends
 - Students they socialize with at least twice per week
- We analyze the dynamics of each student's opinion and friendships over time in order to make a model

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

September 2008 vs. March 2009

- Individual opinion tends towards average of network
- Number of close friend connections changes over time: 323 to 285



Ajay Saini Modeling the Opinion Dynamics of a Social Network

- People with many friends tend to change their friends more often than people with fewer friends.
- Difference in opinion influences connection forming, but not connection breaking.
- What we try to predict with the model:
 - Each individual's self perception of health tends towards the average health opinion of the network
 - Average health opinion of the network increases
 - Number of edges connecting those who socialize twice per week decreases

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

The Model

- Directed graph to fit the implications of the data
- Agent based
- Model different aspects of a society with parameters
 - C: how conservative people are
 - ► *T*: the tendency of people to increase their number of friends



Rule for Updating Opinions

- Opinion updated in probabilistic interactions between connected nodes
- Let node n_1 influence node n_2
- n₂'s opinion will move towards n₁'s opinion if the threshold condition is satisfied
- Extent of opinion change is a function of C



Rule for Updating Connections

- Data suggests that connection forming depends on distance in opinion
- Connection updating done probabilistically
- Probability of changing a connection is a function of distance in opinion and T
 - High value of T: Easy to form connections, hard to break connections
 - ► Low value of *T*: Easy to break connections, hard to form connections



- Data suggests that less popular nodes tend to change their friends less often than popular nodes change their friends
- Place wide bounds on number of connections of popular nodes
- Place tight bounds on number of connections of unpopular nodes

高 とう ヨン うまと

- Simulations use probabilistic interactions between nodes for opinion and connection changes
- In the simulations we used:
 - Opinion: The self perceived health of each agent
 - Graph Structure: Edges representing connections between close friends
 - Initial Conditions: The September 2008 network from the data
- Varied parameters to understand how the network behaves under different conditions
- Used bounds on number of connections for each node

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Average Opinion vs. Time

- ► Varying C: How conservative people are
- When connections are not changing: Average opinion stabilizes



 When connections are changing: Average opinion does not stabilize



Rate of Convergence to Average Opinion of Network

- Average opinion changes, but individual opinions tend to converge within a certain bound of the average
- Use sum of differences from average opinion to examine convergence
- When connections are not changing:



The rate of convergence is not significantly associated with edge changing

Number of Close Friend Edges vs. Time

- Varying T: The tendency of people to increase in number of connections
- When a society converges to the average quickly: C = -.5



• When a society converges to the average slowly: C = .5



The rate of convergence determines whether or not the edges increase or decrease for certain values of T.

Number of Edges vs. Time With No Bounds On Degree



 With no bounds on popularity, even a society that is highly intent on breaking connections will become almost completely connected over time

(4月) (4日) (4日)

Number of Edges vs. Time With No Bounds On Degree



 If nodes are close in opinion, the probability of forming connections is much higher than the probability of breaking connections

Observations regarding opinion spread:

- Updating connections decreases the stability of the average opinion
- Individual opinions approach the average opinion faster in less conservative societies
- The convergence of opinion is important in maximizing the connectivity of the network
- With certain parameters, the trends in number of edges and average opinion produced by the model reflect the changes shown in the data
- A closed network with unbounded connections tends to become highly interconnected

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

- Update the model to better explain the observed data
- Develop an optimization based model for quickest opinion spread and use our understanding of the model to answer the question:
 - How can we optimize opinion propagation in a network?
- Develop a theoretical approach to understanding opinion spread in conjunction with the model
- Use different data to validate the predictive ability of the model

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- My mentor, Dr. Natasha Markuzon for her valuable experience in working with data and networks as well as her guidance throughout the whole process
- Dr. Tanya Khovanova for her insight on the mathematical theory of networks
- PRIMES for giving me the opportunity to perform this research
- My parents for their constant support

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Sensing the 'Health State' of a Community, A. Madan, M. Cebrian, S. Moturu, K. Farrahi, A. Pentland, Pervasive Computing, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 36-45 Oct 2012
- D. Acemoglu, A. Ozdaglar, and M.E. Yildiz, Diffusion of Innovations in Social Networks, Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), 2011.
- Mark Granovetter, "Threshold Models of Collective Behavior," American Journal of Sociology, vol. 83, no. 6, pp. 1420-1443, May 1978.
- David Kempe, Jon Kleinberg, and va Tardos, "Influential Nodes in a Diffusion Model for Social Networks," in ICALP, LNCS 3580, 2005.

イロン イ部ン イヨン イヨン 三日