

Character Theory of Finite Groups

Elias Sink and Allen Wang

Mentor: Chris Ryba

PRIMES Conference: May 18th, 2019

Motivation

- The only math that we truly understand is linear algebra.

Motivation

- The only math that we truly understand is linear algebra.
- Representation theory gives us a nice way of translating abstract relations into an easier language.

Motivation

- The only math that we truly understand is linear algebra.
- Representation theory gives us a nice way of translating abstract relations into an easier language.
- We will focus on the finite representation of groups and work with vector spaces over \mathbb{C} . We pick \mathbb{C} because it is algebraically closed and has characteristic 0.

Basic Definitions

Definition

A **representation** of a group G is the pair (V, ρ) where V is a vector space and ρ is a group homomorphism from $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, i.e.

$$\rho(g_1)\rho(g_2) = \rho(g_1g_2).$$

Basic Definitions

Definition

A **representation** of a group G is the pair (V, ρ) where V is a vector space and ρ is a group homomorphism from $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, i.e.

$$\rho(g_1)\rho(g_2) = \rho(g_1g_2).$$

For example, the **regular representation** of a group G is the representation $(\mathbb{C}[G], \rho)$ where $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is the vector space freely generated by G and $\rho(g)$ is multiplication by g on the left.

Basic Definitions

Definition

A **representation** of a group G is the pair (V, ρ) where V is a vector space and ρ is a group homomorphism from $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, i.e.

$$\rho(g_1)\rho(g_2) = \rho(g_1g_2).$$

For example, the **regular representation** of a group G is the representation $(\mathbb{C}[G], \rho)$ where $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is the vector space freely generated by G and $\rho(g)$ is multiplication by g on the left.

Definition

Given a group G and representations V and W , let $\text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ be the linear maps $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ with $\phi\rho_V(g) = \rho_W(g)\phi$.

Basic Results

Definition

A representation V of G is **simple** (or **irreducible**) if there is no proper nonzero subrepresentation of V .

Basic Results

Definition

A representation V of G is **simple** (or **irreducible**) if there is no proper nonzero subrepresentation of V .

Lemma (Schur)

Let V and W be simple representations of G . If they are distinct, then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = 0$. If $V \cong W$, then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = 1$.

Basic Results

Definition

A representation V of G is **simple** (or **irreducible**) if there is no proper nonzero subrepresentation of V .

Lemma (Schur)

Let V and W be simple representations of G . If they are distinct, then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = 0$. If $V \cong W$, then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = 1$.

Let nonzero $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be in $\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$. If V is simple, then ϕ is injective. If W is simple, then ϕ is surjective.

Basic Results

Definition

A representation V of G is **simple** (or **irreducible**) if there is no proper nonzero subrepresentation of V .

Lemma (Schur)

Let V and W be simple representations of G . If they are distinct, then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = 0$. If $V \cong W$, then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = 1$.

Let nonzero $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be in $\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$. If V is simple, then ϕ is injective. If W is simple, then ϕ is surjective.

Theorem (Maschke)

Let V be any representation of G . Then V is the direct sum of simple representations of G .

Examples of Representations

Example (C_3)

The regular representation of C_3 is \mathbb{C}^3 where the action of $g \in C_3$ is cyclically permuting the coordinates.

- The space (a, a, a) is the trivial representation.
- The space $(a, b, c) : a + b + c = 0$ is a two-dimensional subrepresentation.

Examples of Representations

Example (C_3)

The regular representation of C_3 is \mathbb{C}^3 where the action of $g \in C_3$ is cyclically permuting the coordinates.

- The space (a, a, a) is the trivial representation.
- The space $(a, b, c) : a + b + c = 0$ is a two-dimensional subrepresentation.

Example (S_3)

We give examples of irreducible representations of S_3 .

- The trivial representation, \mathbb{C}_+ , which sends all g to 1.
- The sign representation, \mathbb{C}_- , which sends all elements to $\text{sgn}(g) \in \{-1, +1\}$.
- The space $(a, b, c) : a + b + c = 0$, \mathbb{C}^2 , where g acts by permutation of coordinates.

Definition of Characters

Definition

The **character** χ_V of a representation V is the function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$.

Definition of Characters

Definition

The **character** χ_V of a representation V is the function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$.

Note that since $\text{Tr}(MgM^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(g)$, so character is independent of the choice of basis for V . Similarly, $\text{Tr}(g_2g_1g_2^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(g_1)$, so χ is constant on conjugacy classes, i.e. it is a class function.

Definition of Characters

Definition

The **character** χ_V of a representation V is the function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$.

Note that since $\text{Tr}(MgM^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(g)$, so character is independent of the choice of basis for V . Similarly, $\text{Tr}(g_2g_1g_2^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(g_1)$, so χ is constant on conjugacy classes, i.e. it is a class function.

Lemma

If V and W are representations of G , then $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$.

Definition of Characters

Definition

The **character** χ_V of a representation V is the function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$.

Note that since $\text{Tr}(MgM^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(g)$, so character is independent of the choice of basis for V . Similarly, $\text{Tr}(g_2g_1g_2^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(g_1)$, so χ is constant on conjugacy classes, i.e. it is a class function.

Lemma

If V and W are representations of G , then $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$.

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{V \oplus W}(g) &= \text{Tr} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_V(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_W(g) \end{bmatrix} = \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g)) + \text{Tr}(\rho_W(g)) \\ &= \chi_V(g) + \chi_W(g)\end{aligned}$$

Examples of Characters

Example (S_3)

- The trivial representation, \mathbb{C}_+ , has character $\chi(g) = 1$.

Examples of Characters

Example (S_3)

- The trivial representation, \mathbb{C}_+ , has character $\chi(g) = 1$.
- The sign representation, \mathbb{C}_- , has character $\chi(g) = \text{sgn}(g)$.

Examples of Characters

Example (S_3)

- The trivial representation, \mathbb{C}_+ , has character $\chi(g) = 1$.
- The sign representation, \mathbb{C}_- , has character $\chi(g) = \text{sgn}(g)$.
- The space $(a, b, c) : a + b + c = 0$ where g acts by permutation of coordinates is the mean zero representation, \mathbb{C}^2 . Thus, $\chi(g)$ is one less than the number of fixed points of g .

Inner Product

- What kind of structure do characters have?

Inner Product

- What kind of structure do characters have?
- It can be shown from Maschke's Theorem that characters of simple representations are linearly independent and span the vector space $F_c(G, \mathbb{C})$ of class functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Inner Product

- What kind of structure do characters have?
- It can be shown from Maschke's Theorem that characters of simple representations are linearly independent and span the vector space $F_c(G, \mathbb{C})$ of class functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
- Define an inner product $(-, -)$ on $F_c(G, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)}$$

or, letting $\{C_i\}$ be the conjugacy classes of G ,

$$\sum_i \frac{|C_i|}{|G|} f_1(C_i) \overline{f_2(C_i)}.$$

Orthogonality Relations

Theorem (Orthogonality by rows)

$$\text{For } V, W \text{ simple, } (\chi_V, \chi_W) = \begin{cases} 1 & V \cong W \\ 0 & V \not\cong W \end{cases}$$

Orthogonality Relations

Theorem (Orthogonality by rows)

$$\text{For } V, W \text{ simple, } (\chi_V, \chi_W) = \begin{cases} 1 & V \cong W \\ 0 & V \not\cong W \end{cases}$$

- Proof sketch: it can be shown that

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_W(g)} = \dim \text{Hom}_G(W, V).$$

The result then follows immediately from Schur's lemma.

Orthogonality Relations

Theorem (Orthogonality by rows)

$$\text{For } V, W \text{ simple, } (\chi_V, \chi_W) = \begin{cases} 1 & V \cong W \\ 0 & V \not\cong W \end{cases}$$

- Proof sketch: it can be shown that

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \overline{\chi_W(g)} = \dim \text{Hom}_G(W, V).$$

The result then follows immediately from Schur's lemma.

- Thus this basis is orthonormal with respect to $(-, -)$.

Orthogonality Relations, Cont.

- A different orthonormal basis is given by $\{\sqrt{|G|/|C_i|}\delta_i\}$, where

$$\delta_i(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in C_i \\ 0 & g \notin C_i \end{cases}$$

Orthogonality Relations, Cont.

- A different orthonormal basis is given by $\{\sqrt{|G|/|C_i|}\delta_i\}$, where

$$\delta_i(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in C_i \\ 0 & g \notin C_i \end{cases}$$

- Some calculation gives $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \sum_V \chi_V(C_i)\chi_V(C_j)$, where the sum is over simple representations.

Orthogonality Relations, Cont.

- A different orthonormal basis is given by $\{\sqrt{|G|/|C_i|}\delta_i\}$, where

$$\delta_i(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in C_i \\ 0 & g \notin C_i \end{cases}$$

- Some calculation gives $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \sum_V \chi_V(C_i)\chi_V(C_j)$, where the sum is over simple representations. This leads to

Theorem (Orthogonality by columns)

$$\sum_V \chi_V(C_i)\chi_V(C_j) = \begin{cases} |G|/|C_i| & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Character Tables

- These data can be summarized in a **character table**. Rows are indexed by simples, columns by conjugacy classes. The number in row V and column C is $\chi_V(C)$. A row giving the size of each conjugacy class is also included.

Example (S_3)

S_3	1^3	$1^1 2^1$	3^1
#	1	3	2
C_+	1	1	1
C_-	1	-1	1
C^2	2	0	-1

Conclusion

- This information, together with Schur's lemma and Maschke's theorem, can be used to extract the simple summands (with multiplicity) of any representation of G , which determine it up to isomorphism.

Conclusion

- This information, together with Schur's lemma and Maschke's theorem, can be used to extract the simple summands (with multiplicity) of any representation of G , which determine it up to isomorphism.
- Furthermore, this is accomplished with a easy, concrete computation. Operations such as taking quotients and tensor products are similarly tractable with this machine.

Conclusion

- This information, together with Schur's lemma and Maschke's theorem, can be used to extract the simple summands (with multiplicity) of any representation of G , which determine it up to isomorphism.
- Furthermore, this is accomplished with a easy, concrete computation. Operations such as taking quotients and tensor products are similarly tractable with this machine.
- Thus the character table of a finite group gives an essentially complete description of its representation theory as well as a powerful computational tool for working with ostensibly abstract objects.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank:

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank:

- Our mentor, Chris Ryba

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank:

- Our mentor, Chris Ryba
- Dr. Gerovitch and the MIT PRIMES program

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank:

- Our mentor, Chris Ryba
- Dr. Gerovitch and the MIT PRIMES program
- Prof. Pavel Etingof for his course notes and book

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank:

- Our mentor, Chris Ryba
- Dr. Gerovitch and the MIT PRIMES program
- Prof. Pavel Etingof for his course notes and book
- Our parents