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#### Abstract

In [1] Bozec gave a definition of generalized quantum groups that extends the usual definition of quantum groups to finite quivers with loops at vertices, and in [3] he introduced a theory of generalized crystals for this new family of Hopf algebras. We explicitly characterize the generalized crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ associated to a certain family of comet-shaped quivers with multiple loops by providing a complete set of relations among the Kashiwara operators themselves.
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## 1. Introduction

To a finite quiver without loops one can attach a Kac-Moody algebra and, as in [10], a quantum group. More recently, the relevance of quivers with loops has become apparent. For example, a certain class of such quivers, the comet-shaped quivers, have appeared in the work of Hausel, Letellier, and Rodriguez-Villegas on the topology of character varieties [4], and quivers with loops also appear in considerations of quiver varieties [12] and quantum cohomology [11].

In [1], Bozec introduces a natural generalization of quantum groups associated to an arbitrary finite quiver, possibly with loops. In particular, Bozec associates to any finite quiver $Q$ a Hopf algebra $U=U(Q)$ over $\mathbb{C}(v)$ which coincides with the usual definition of quantum group in the case $Q$ has no loops. $U$ shares many properties with usual quantum groups, and in particular admits a triangular decomposition $U=U^{-} \otimes U^{0} \otimes U^{+}$. In analogy with the theory of crystal bases developed in [7] for usual quantum groups, in [3] Bozec introduces a theory of generalized crystals for an arbitrary quiver, and in particular defines the crystal basis $(\mathcal{L}(\infty), \mathcal{B}(\infty))$ for the negative part $U^{-}$of the generalized quantum group $U$. The crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ carries much information about the algebra $U$ itself and about its representation theory. For this reason, the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ associated to quivers without loops has been studied extensively previously, for example in [5], [8], [9].

In this paper, we initiate the explicit description of the generalized crystals $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ associated to quivers with loops. The existing knowledge in the loop-free case and the local nature, with respect to the underlying quiver, of the algebra $U$ and the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ emphasize the importance of performing a local study of the underlying quiver at a vertex with loops. With this in mind, we focus on the case in which the quiver is

[^0]a comet-shaped quiver with all leg lengths equal to 1 . More specifically, given integers $\omega \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, let $Q(\omega, r)$ denote the (unoriented) quiver with vertices $\left\{i, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}\right\}$ with $\omega$ loops at vertex $i$, no loops at or edges connecting vertices $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}$, and exactly one edge connecting the vertices $i$ and $j_{s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$. We will provide in the "non-isotropic" case $\omega>1$ a remarkably simple description of the generalized crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ associated to the quiver $Q(\omega, r)$ for any $r \geq 1$ by giving a complete set of relations among the corresponding Kashiwara operators on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ defined in the following section. These relations should be seen as degenerations of the commutation and Serre relations defining $U^{-}$.
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## 3. Background and Definitions

In this section we recall the relevant definitions from [1] and [3].
3.1. The Algebra $U^{-}$. Fix a quiver $Q$, possibly with loops, with vertex set $I$. Let

$$
(\cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{Z} I \times \mathbb{Z} I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

be the unique symmetric bilinear form on the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z} I$ with $(i, i)=2-2 \omega_{i}$, where $\omega_{i}$ is the number of loops at vertex $i \in I$, and with $(i, j)=-n_{i j}$, where $n_{i j}$ is the number of edges connecting the vertices $i, j \in I$. A vertex $i \in I$ is called real if there are no loops at $i$, and otherwise is called imaginary. We denote by $I^{r e}$ the set of real vertices, and by $I^{i m}$ the set of imaginary vertices. An imaginary vertex $i \in I^{i m}$ is called isotropic if $\omega_{i}=1$, and non-isotropic otherwise. We denote by $I^{i s o} \subset I^{i m}$ the set of imaginary isotropic vertices. Define

$$
I_{\infty}:=I^{r e} \cup\left\{(i, l): i \in I^{i m}, l \geq 1\right\}
$$

and extend the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)$ by defining $(j,(i, l))=((i, l), j)=l(j, i)$ for $j \in I^{r e}, i \in I^{i m}$, and $l \geq 1$ and $((j, k),(i, l))=k l(j, i)$ for $i, j \in I^{i m}$ and $k, l \geq 1$.

Let $A=\mathbb{C}(v)\left\langle F_{\iota}: \iota \in I_{\infty}\right\rangle$ be the free $\mathbb{C}(v)$-algebra on the generators $F_{\iota}$ for $\iota \in I_{\infty}$. We give $A$ a $\mathbb{Z} I$ grading by setting $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{j}\right)=-j$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{(i, l)}\right)=-l i$ for $j \in I^{r e}, i \in I^{i m}$, and $l \geq 1$. For an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the $v$-analogue of $n$ by

$$
[n]:=\frac{v^{n}-v^{-n}}{v-v^{-1}}=v^{n-1}+v^{n-3}+\ldots+v^{1-n}
$$

and, for $n \geq 1$, the $v$-analogue of $n$ ! by

$$
[n]!:=[n] \cdots[1] .
$$

We set $[0]!=1$. For $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq 0$, the $v$-analogue of the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$ is defined by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]:=\frac{[n][n-1] \cdots[n-k+1]}{[k]!} .
$$

For a real vertex $j \in I^{r e}$ and an integer $n \geq 0$, define its $n^{t h}$ divided power by

$$
F_{j}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{[n]!} F_{j}^{n} .
$$

We define $U^{-}$as the quotient of the free algebra $A$ by the ideal generated by the relations

$$
\left[F_{\iota}, F_{\kappa}\right]=0
$$

for all $\iota, \kappa \in I_{\infty}$ with $(\iota, \kappa)=0$ and

$$
\sum_{t+t^{\prime}=1-(j, \iota)}(-1)^{t} F_{j}^{(t)} F_{\iota} F_{j}^{\left(t^{\prime}\right)}=0
$$

for all $j \in I^{r e}$ and $\iota \in I_{\infty}$ with $\iota \neq j$. The relations of the first type are called commutation relations and the relations of the second type are called Serre relations. Note that both types of relations are homogeneous, so $U^{-}$inherits a $\mathbb{Z} I$-grading from $A$.

For a homogeneous element $u \in U^{-}$, let $|u|=\operatorname{deg}(u) \in \mathbb{Z} I$ denote its degree. For $d \in \mathbb{Z} I$ let

$$
U^{-}[d]:=\left\{u \in U^{-}:|x|=d\right\}
$$

denote the homogeneous subspace of $U^{-}$of degree $d$.
3.2. Kashiwara Operators and the Crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$. In [3], Proposition 3.11, Bozec defines certain elements $b_{i, l} \in U^{-}[-l i]$ for all imaginary vertices $i \in I^{i m}$ and positive integers $l \geq 1$. We do not fully reproduce their definition here, but we recall some of their relevant properties. In particular, we have $b_{i, 1}=F_{i, 1}$ and

$$
b_{i, l}-F_{i, l} \in \mathbb{C}(v)\left\langle F_{i, k}: 1 \leq k<l\right\rangle
$$

For an imaginary vertex $i \in I^{i m}$ and a nonnegative integer $l \geq 1$, if $i$ is isotropic let $\mathcal{C}_{i, l}$ denote the set of partitions of $l$, and otherwise let $\mathcal{C}_{i, l}$ denote the set of compositions of $l$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{i}:=\coprod_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_{i, l}$. We denote partitions or compositions by finite lists of the form $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right)$, where for partitions these lists are unordered. For such $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$, let $b_{i, c}=b_{i, c_{1}} \cdots b_{i, c_{k}}$. Observe that $\left\{b_{i, c}: c \in \mathcal{C}_{i, l}\right\}$ forms a basis for $U^{-}[-l i]$. For convenience, if $j \in I^{r e}$ is a real vertex, let $b_{j}=F_{j}$, so that we have defined $b_{\iota}$ for all $\iota \in I_{\infty}$. Then we observe that the set $\left\{b_{\iota}: \iota \in I_{\infty}\right\}$ generates $U^{-}$as an algebra and we will see in Corollary 10 that the assignment $F_{\iota} \mapsto b_{\iota}$ extends to an algebra endomorphism of $U^{-}$.

By Proposition 3.14 in [3], for each $\iota \in I_{\infty}$ there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}(v)$-linear function $e_{\iota}^{\prime}: U^{-} \rightarrow U^{-}$ characterized by the properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\iota}^{\prime}(y z)=e_{\iota}^{\prime}(y) z+v^{(-\iota,|y|)} y e_{\iota}^{\prime}(z) \quad \forall y, z \in U^{-} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { (2) } \quad e_{\iota}^{\prime}\left(b_{\kappa}\right)=\delta_{\iota, \kappa} \quad \forall \kappa \in I_{\infty}
$$

For a real vertex $j \in I^{r e}$, let $\mathcal{K}_{j}=\operatorname{ker}\left(e_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, and for an imaginary vertex $i \in I^{i m}$ let $\mathcal{K}_{i}=\cap_{l \geq 1} \operatorname{ker}\left(e_{(i, l)}^{\prime}\right)$. We then have the following proposition, which is Proposition 16 in [3]:

Lemma 1. For a real vertex $j \in I^{r e}$ there is a direct sum decomposition

$$
U^{-}=\bigoplus_{l \geq 0} F_{j}^{(l)} \mathcal{K}_{j}
$$

and for an imaginary vertex $i \in I_{\infty}$ there is a direct sum decomposition

$$
U^{-}=\bigoplus_{c \in \mathcal{C}_{i}} b_{i, c} \mathcal{K}_{i}
$$

We can now define the Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_{\iota}, \tilde{f}_{\iota}: U^{-} \rightarrow U^{-}$for $\iota \in I_{\infty}$ as in Definition 3.17 of [3]. First suppose $j \in I^{r e}$ is a real vertex. For $u \in U^{-}$, by Lemma 1, we can write uniquely $u=\sum_{l \geq 0} F_{j}^{(l)} z_{l}$ with $z_{l} \in \operatorname{ker} e_{j}^{\prime}$. The Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_{j}, \tilde{f}_{j}$ are then defined by

$$
\tilde{f}_{j}(u)=\sum_{l \geq 0} F_{j}^{(l+1)} z_{l} \quad \tilde{e}_{j}(u)=\sum_{l \geq 1} F_{j}^{(l-1)} z_{l}
$$

Next, suppose $i \in I^{i m}$ is an imaginary vertex. Given $u \in U^{-}$, write $u=\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_{i}} b_{i, c} z_{c}$ with $z_{c} \in \mathcal{K}_{i}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$ as in Lemma 1. Then we define

$$
\tilde{f}_{i, l}(u):= \begin{cases}\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_{i}} b_{i,(l, c)} z_{c} & i \notin I^{i s o} \\ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{i}} \sqrt{\frac{l}{m_{l}(\lambda)+1}} b_{i, \lambda \cup l} z_{\lambda} & i \in I^{i s o}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{e}_{i, l}(u):= \begin{cases}\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}_{i}: c_{1}=l} b_{i, c \backslash c_{1}} z_{c} & i \notin I^{i s o} \\ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{i}: l \in \lambda} \sqrt{\frac{m_{l}(\lambda)}{l}} b_{i, \lambda \backslash l} z_{\lambda} & i \in I^{i s o}\end{cases}
$$

where if $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a composition then $c \backslash c_{1}=\left(c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots\right)$ and $(l, c)=\left(l, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right)$, and if $\lambda=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is a partition then $m_{l}(\lambda)$ is the number of parts of $\lambda$ equal to $l, \lambda \backslash l$ denotes the partition obtained from $\lambda$ by removing a part of size $l$, and $\lambda \cup l$ denotes the partition obtained from $\lambda$ by adding a part of size $l$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{C}(v)$ denote the subring consisting of rational functions in $v$ without pole at $v^{-1}=0$, in other words the localization of $\mathbb{C}\left[v^{-1}\right]$ at the maximal ideal $\left(v^{-1}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ denote the sub- $\mathcal{A}$-module of $U^{-}$ spanned by the elements $\tilde{f}_{\iota_{1}} \cdots \tilde{f}_{\iota_{s}} .1$ where $s \geq 0$ and $\iota_{k} \in I_{\infty}$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$. Finally, we define the set

$$
\mathcal{B}(\infty):=\left\{\tilde{f}_{\iota_{1}} \cdots \tilde{f}_{\iota_{s}} \cdot 1: \iota_{k} \in I_{\infty}\right\} \subset \frac{\mathcal{L}(\infty)}{v^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\infty)}
$$

We have the following theorem, which is Theorem 3.26 of [3]:
Theorem 2. The Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_{\iota}, \tilde{f}_{\iota}$ for $\iota \in I_{\infty}$ are still defined on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$, and there are functions wt $: \mathcal{B}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} I$ and $\epsilon_{i}: \mathcal{B}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i} \cup\{-\infty\}$ such that $B(\infty)$ together with these maps forms a generalized $Q$-crystal in the sense of Definition 3.18 of [3].

Note that the Kashiwara operators $\tilde{f}_{\iota}, \tilde{e}_{\iota}: U^{-} \rightarrow U^{-}$for $\iota \in I_{\infty}$ are graded operators of degrees $-j$ and $+j$, respectively, for $\iota=j \in I^{\text {re }}$ and are graded operators of degrees $-l i$ and $+l i$, respectively, for $\iota=(i, l)$ with $i \in I^{i m}$ and $l \geq 1$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ and hence $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ inherit $\mathbb{Z} I$-gradings as well, and the Kashiwara operators are graded operators of the same degrees as on $U^{-}$. In the special cases of the comet quivers $Q(\omega, r)$ defined in the introduction, we describe the $\mathbb{Z} I$-graded set $B(\infty)$ and the Kashiwara operators defined on it explicitly in terms of sequences with special properties.

## 4. Relations in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ Corresponding to Non-isotropic Comet Quivers

Recall from the introduction that $Q(\omega, r)$ is the quiver with vertex set $I=\left\{i, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}\right\}$ with $\omega$ loops at the imaginary vertex $i$ and exactly 1 edge pairwise connecting $i$ and real vertices $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}$. Writing $j$ without a subscript refers to any real vertex. In this paper we deal with only the non-isotropic case ( $\omega>1$ ). To state our main theorem, we first define crystal Serre relations among elements $\tilde{f}_{\iota}$ and $\tilde{f}_{j_{k}}$.

Definition 3 (Crystal Serre Relation). For $\iota \in I_{\infty}$ and a real vertex $j \in I^{\text {re }}$, say that $\tilde{f}_{\iota}$ satisfies the l-th order crystal Serre relation with $\tilde{f}_{j}$ if $\tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{\iota} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} \equiv \tilde{f}_{\iota} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1}$ as operators on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

Definition 4 (Commutation Relation). For $\iota, \iota^{\prime} \in I_{\infty}$, say that that $\tilde{f}_{\iota}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\iota^{\prime}}$ satisfy the commutation relation if $\tilde{f}_{\iota} \tilde{f}_{\iota^{\prime}} \equiv \tilde{f}_{\iota^{\prime}} \tilde{f}_{\iota}$ as operators on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.
Theorem 5 (Main Theorem). In the generalized crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ associated to the quiver $Q(\omega, r)$ for $\omega>1$ and $r \geq 0$, the operator $\tilde{f}_{(i, l)}$ satisfies the l-th order crystal Serre relation with the operators $\tilde{f}_{j_{k}}$ for all $1 \underset{\sim}{1} \leq k \leq r$, and the operators $\tilde{f}_{j}$ and $\tilde{f}_{j^{\prime}}$ commute for any $j, j^{\prime} \in\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}\right\}$. Furthermore, every equality $\tilde{f}_{\iota_{1}} \ldots \tilde{f}_{\iota_{n}} \cdot 1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{\iota_{1}^{\prime}} \ldots \tilde{f}_{\iota_{n}^{\prime}} \cdot 1$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ follows from the commutation relations and crystal Serre relations.

In this section we show that the relations in the theorem hold, and in Section 5 we will show by a combinatorial argument with Bozec's character formula for $U^{-}$(given in [2]) that the these relations imply all equalities in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ among compositions of Kashiwara operators applied to 1 , giving a complete description of $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

Recall from Section 3.1 the Serre relation, which states for all $\iota \in I_{\infty}, \iota \neq j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t+t^{\prime}=1-(j, \iota)}(-1)^{t} F_{j}^{(t)} F_{\iota} F_{j}^{\left(t^{\prime}\right)}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the notion of an $a$-th order Serre relation for any element $x \in U^{-}$.
Definition 6. An element $x \in U^{-}$satisfies the $a$-th order Serre relation with $F_{j}$ if

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{a+1}(-1)^{a+1-t} F_{j}^{(a+1-t)} x F_{j}^{(t)}=0
$$

Lemma 7. Let $x \in U^{-}$satisfy the l-th order Serre relation with $F_{j}$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
x F_{j}^{(l+1+n)}=\sum_{t=0}^{l}(-1)^{l+t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-t \\
n
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(l+1+n-t)} x F_{j}^{(t)}
$$

Proof. We induct on $n$. When $n=0,(-1)^{l+t}\left[\begin{array}{c}l+n-t \\ n\end{array}\right]=(-1)^{l+t}$, so the above is exactly the $l$-th order Serre relation of $x$ with $F_{j}$.

Now take $n>0$. By induction on $n$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x F_{j}^{(l+1+n)}=\frac{1}{[l+1+n]} x F_{j}^{(l+n)} F_{j} \\
=\frac{1}{[l+1+n]} \sum_{t=0}^{l}(-1)^{t+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-1-t \\
n-1
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(l+n-t)} x F_{j}^{(t)} F_{j} \\
=\frac{1}{[l+1+n]}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{l-1}[t+1](-1)^{t+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-1-t \\
n-1
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(l+n-t)} x F_{j}^{(t+1)}+[l+1]\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1 \\
n-1
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(n)} x F_{j}^{(l+1)}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{[l+1+n]}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{l-1}[t+1](-1)^{t+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-1-t \\
n-1
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(l+n-t)} x F_{j}^{(t+1)}+[l+1] F_{j}^{(n)} \sum_{t=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-t} F_{j}^{(l+1-t)} x F_{j}^{(t)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$
\frac{[t]}{[l+1+n]}(-1)^{t-1+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-t \\
n-1
\end{array}\right]+(-1)^{l-t} \frac{[l+1]}{[l+1+n]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+1+n-t \\
n
\end{array}\right]=(-1)^{t+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-t \\
n
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Simple algebraic manipulation reveals this equality is equivalent to proving

$$
[l+1+n][l+1-t]+[t][n]=[l+1][l+1+n-t]
$$

which can readily be checked using the definition of quantum numbers.
Lemma 8. For $a, b, c \geq 0$,

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{c}(-1)^{s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s  \tag{2}\\
s
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+s \\
c
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+c+1 \\
c-s
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a-b-1 \\
c
\end{array}\right]
$$

Proof. To prove this identity, we transform Equation (2) to the $q$-analogue of the natural numbers defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$
[n]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q} .
$$

The $q$-analogue of $n!$ is defined as $[n]_{q}!:=[n]_{q} \cdots[1]_{q}$ and the $q$-analogue of the binomial coefficient is defined by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\frac{[n]_{q} \ldots[n-k+1]_{q}}{[k]_{q}!}
$$

For $q=v^{2}$ we have $[n]_{v}=q^{\frac{1-n}{2}}[n]_{q}$, so Equation (2) becomes equivalent to showing

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{c}(-1)^{s} q^{\frac{s^{s}+s}{2}-c s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s  \tag{3}\\
s
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+s \\
c
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+c+1 \\
c-s
\end{array}\right]_{q}=q^{b c+c}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a-b-1 \\
c
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

To prove Equation (3), we view both sides as polynomials in the ring $Q(a, b):=\mathbb{Q}(q)\left[q^{a}, q^{b}\right]$, where $q^{a}$ and $q^{b}$ are formal variables. Viewing $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+s \\ c\end{array}\right]_{q}$ as a polynomial in the variable $q^{a}$, we claim that for $0 \leq s \leq c$ the polynomials $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+s \\ c\end{array}\right]$ form a basis for $\mathbb{Q}(q)\left[q^{a}\right]_{\leq c}$, the subspace of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ of polynomials of degree at most c. Assume there is some non-trivial relation between $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+s \\ c\end{array}\right]_{q}$, such that $\sum_{s=0}^{c} y_{s}\left[\begin{array}{c}a+s \\ c\end{array}\right]_{q}=0$. Evaluating the $q$-binomial coefficient $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+s \\ c\end{array}\right]_{q}$ at $a<c-s$ gives 0 and at $a=c-s$ gives 1 . It follows that the $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+s \\ c\end{array}\right]_{q}$ form a basis of the free $\mathbb{Q}(q)\left[q^{b}\right]$-module $\mathbb{Q}(q)\left[q^{b}\right]\left[q^{a}\right]_{\leq c}$ (the $\leq c$ referring to the $a$ degree). In particular, there exist $x_{s} \in \mathbb{Q}(q)\left[q^{b}\right]_{\leq c}$ such that

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{c} x_{s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+s  \tag{4}\\
c
\end{array}\right]=q^{b c+c}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a-b-1 \\
c
\end{array}\right]
$$

For a particular fixed value of $s$, we see for $b \in\{-c-1, \ldots,-1\}, x_{s}$ evaluated at $b \neq-s-1$ gives 0 , and $x_{s}$ evaluated at $b=-s-1$ gives $q^{b c+c}$. Thus $\left(q^{b}-q^{-c-1}\right)\left(q^{b}-q^{-c}\right) \cdots\left(q^{b}-q^{-1}\right) /\left(q^{b}-q^{-s-1}\right)$ divides $x_{s}$. Multiplying through by the appropriate constants in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, we see that

$$
x_{s}=K_{s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s \\
s
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+c+1 \\
c-s
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $K_{s}$ is some constant in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. Evaluating $x_{s}$ at $b=-s-1$ gives that $x_{s}\left(q^{-s-1}\right)=q^{(-s-1) c+c}=\left[\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ s\end{array}\right]_{q} K_{s}$. Solving gives $K_{s}=(-1)^{s} q^{\frac{s^{2}+s}{2}-c s}$.

Thus

$$
x_{s}=q^{\frac{s^{s}+s}{2}-c s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s \\
s
\end{array}\right]_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+c+1 \\
c-s
\end{array}\right]_{q},
$$

and the identity in Equation (3) and the lemma are proven.
Having proven Lemma 8, we can now show that all homogeneous elements of $U^{-}$satisfy Serre relations with all real vertices, with the order of the Serre relation depending only on the homogeneous degree.
Theorem 9. Given elements $x, y \in U^{-}$satisfying respectively the $a$-th order and $b$-th order Serre relations with $F_{j}$, then xy satisfies the $(a+b)$-th order Serre relation with $F_{j}$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{t=0}^{a+b+1}(-1)^{(1+a+b-t)} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x y F_{j}^{(t)} \\
=\sum_{t=0}^{b}(-1)^{1+a+b-t} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x y F_{j}^{(t)}+\sum_{s=0}^{a}(-1)^{(1+a+b-(s+b+1))} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-(s+b+1))} x y F_{j}^{(s+b+1)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 7, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\sum_{t=0}^{b}(-1)^{1+a+b-t} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x y F_{j}^{(t)}+\sum_{s=0}^{a}(-1)^{(a-s)} F_{j}^{(a-s)} x \sum_{k=0}^{b}(-1)^{(b+k)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s-k \\
s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(b+1+s-k)} y F_{j}^{(k)} \\
=\sum_{t=0}^{b}\left[(-1)^{1+a+b-t} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x+\sum_{s=0}^{a}(-1)^{(a+b+t-s)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s-t \\
s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(a-s)} x F_{j}^{(b+1+s-t)}\right] y F_{j}^{(t)}
\end{gathered}
$$

It suffices to show that the expression in the brackets in the line above is 0 for $0 \leq t \leq b$.
To use the $a$-th order Serre relation for $x$, we must have that $b+1+s-t \geq a+1$ i.e. $s \geq a-b+t$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{1+a+b-t} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x+\sum_{s=0}^{a}(-1)^{(a+b+t-s)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s-t \\
s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(a-s)} x F_{j}^{(b+1+s-t)} \\
&=(-1)^{1+a+b-t} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x+\sum_{s=0}^{a-b+t-1}(-1)^{(a+b+t-s)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s-t \\
s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(a-s)} x F_{j}^{(b+1+s-t)} \\
&+\sum_{s=0}^{b-t}(-1)^{(a+b+t-(s+a-b+t))}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+(s+a-b+t)-t \\
s+a-b+t
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(a-(s+a-b+t))} x F_{j}^{(b+1+(s+a-b+t)-t)} \\
&=(-1)^{1+a+b-t} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x+\sum_{s=0}^{a-b+t-1}(-1)^{(a+b+t-s)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s-t \\
s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(a-s)} x F_{j}^{(b+1+s-t)} \\
& \quad+\sum_{s=0}^{b-t}(-1)^{s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
s+a \\
s+a-b+t
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(b-t-s)} \sum_{i=0}^{a}(-1)^{a+i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+s-i \\
s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(a+s+1-i)} x F_{j}^{(i)} \\
&=(-1)^{1+a+b-t} F_{j}^{(1+a+b-t)} x+\sum_{s=0}^{a-b+t-1}(-1)^{(a+b+t-s)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
b+s-t \\
s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(a-s)} x F_{j}^{(b+1+s-t)} \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{a} \sum_{s=0}^{b-t}(-1)^{s+a-i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+s-i \\
s
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+a \\
s+a-b+t
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+b-i-t+1 \\
b-t-s
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(b-t+a+1-i)} x F_{j}^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that this sum is equal to 0 , it suffices to show for $0 \leq i \leq a$

$$
(-1)^{(a+i-1)}\left[\begin{array}{l}
i-1 \\
b-t
\end{array}\right]+\sum_{s=0}^{b-t}(-1)^{s+a-i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+s-i \\
s
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
s+a \\
s+a-b+t
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+b-i-t+1 \\
b-t-s
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

Substituting $c=b-t$ and rearranging, this is equivalent to proving

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{c}(-1)^{s}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+s-i \\
s
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
s+a \\
s+a-c
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+c-i+1 \\
c-s
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
i-1 \\
c
\end{array}\right]
$$

Observe that this is exactly the statement in Lemma 8 with $b=a-i$. The theorem follows.
Corollary 10. The element $b_{i, l}$ satisfies the order $l$ Serre relation with $b_{j}=F_{j}$. In particular, the assignment $F_{\iota} \mapsto b_{\iota}$ for $\iota \in I_{\infty}$ extends to an endomorphism of the algebra $U^{-}$.
4.1. Crystal Serre Relations. We start by proving the following equality.

Lemma 11. For all $\ell \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0, \tilde{f}_{i, \ell} \tilde{f}_{j}^{\ell+n+1} .1=\tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, \ell} \tilde{f}_{j}^{\ell+n} .1$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.
To prove this lemma, we prove special properties regarding the decomposition of $b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(c)}$ into $\bigoplus_{l \geq 0} F_{j}^{(l)} \mathcal{K}_{j}$ as given in Lemma 1.
Definition 12. Define $z_{k, c}^{\ell}$ for all $c \geq 0$ and $c \geq k \geq 0$ such that

$$
b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(c)}=\sum_{k=0}^{c} F_{j}^{(k)} z_{k, c}^{\ell} .
$$

This provides a unique definition of $z_{k, c}^{\ell}$ by Proposition 3.16 in Bozec. For $k<0$ or $c<0$, we define $z_{k, c}^{\ell}=0$. Note that the superscript for $z_{k, c}$ is always $\ell$ for the following sections, thus we often omit the superscript.
Lemma 13. For all $k, c \geq 0$ and $\ell \geq 1$, the following recursion holds:

$$
z_{k, c}=\frac{1}{[c]}\left(z_{k, c-1} F_{j}-v^{-\ell+2(c-k-1)} F_{j} z_{k, c-1}^{\ell}+[k] v^{-\ell+2(c-k)} z_{k-1, c-1}\right)
$$

Proof. We observe that $\left|z_{k, c}\right|=-\ell i-(c-k) j$, as $\left|z_{k, c}^{\ell}\right|+\left|F_{j}^{(k)}\right|=\left|b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(c)}\right|$. Thus $-\left(\left|z_{k, c}\right|, j\right)=-\ell+2(c-k)$.
From the proof of Proposition 3.16 in [3], we can write

$$
z_{k, c-1} F_{j}=\left(z_{k, c-1} F_{j}-v^{-\left(\left|z_{k, c-1}\right|, j\right)} F_{j} z_{k, c-1}\right)+v^{-\left(\left|z_{k, c-1}\right|, j\right)} F_{j} z_{k, c-1}
$$

where the first term in parenthesis lies in $\mathcal{K}_{j}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{c} F_{j}^{(k)} z_{k, c}=b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(c)}=\frac{1}{[c]} b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(c-1)} F_{j}=\frac{1}{[c]} \sum_{k=0}^{c-1} F_{j}^{(k)} z_{k, c-1} F_{j} \\
= & \frac{1}{[c]} \sum_{k=0}^{c-1} F_{j}^{(k)}\left(\left(z_{k, c-1} F_{j}-v^{-\ell+2(c-k-1)} F_{j} z_{k, c-1}\right)+v^{-\ell+2(c-k-1)} F_{j} z_{k, c-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma now follows from the uniqueness of the decomposition in Propsition 3.16 of [3].
Lemma 14. For all $k, c \geq 0$ and $\ell \geq 1, z_{k, c}^{\ell}=v^{k(c-k-l)} z_{0, c-k}^{\ell}$.
Proof. We define $z_{0,0}^{\prime}=b_{i, l}$ and define $z_{0, c}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{[c]}\left(z_{0, c-1}^{\prime} F_{j}-v^{(-\ell+2(c-1))} F_{j} z_{0, c-1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $c>0$. Then we define $z_{k, c}^{\prime}$ for $0<k \leq c$ by $z_{k, c}^{\prime}=v^{k(c-k-l)} z_{0, c-k}^{\prime}$. It follows from Lemma 8 and the fact that $z_{0,0}=b_{i, l}$ that $z_{0, c}^{\prime}=z_{0, c}$. We need only to check that the $z_{k, c}^{\prime}$ satisfy the recurrence of Lemma 8. This is checked in the following calculation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{[c]}\left(z_{k, c-1}^{\prime} F_{j}-v^{(-\ell+2(c-k-1))} F_{j} z_{k, c-1}^{\prime}+[k] v^{-\ell+2(c-k)} z_{k-1, c-1}^{\prime}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{[c]}\left(v^{k(c-1-k-\ell)} z_{0, c-1-k}^{\prime} F_{j}-v^{(-\ell+2(c-k)-1)} F_{j} v^{k(c-1-k-\ell)} z_{0, c-1-k}^{\prime}+[k] v^{-\ell+2(c-k)} v^{(k-1)(c-k-\ell)} z_{0, c-k}^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{1}{[c]}\left(v^{k(c-1-k-\ell)}\left(z_{0, c-1-k}^{\prime} F_{j}-v^{(-\ell+2(c-k)-1)} F_{j} z_{0, c-1-k}^{\prime}\right)+[k] v^{-\ell+2(c-k)} v^{-(c-k)+l+k(c-k-l)} z_{0, c-k}^{\prime}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{[c]}\left([c-k] v^{-k+k(c-k-\ell)} z_{0, c-k}^{\prime}+[k] v^{(c-k+k(c-k-\ell))} z_{0, c-k}^{\prime}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{[c]}\left([c-k] v^{-k} z_{k, c}^{\prime}+[k] v^{c-k} z_{k, c}^{\prime}\right) \\
=\frac{[c-k] v^{-k}+[k] v^{c-k}}{[c]} z_{k, c}^{\prime} \\
=z_{k, c}^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 15. For $c \leq \ell, z_{0, c}^{\ell} \equiv \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{c} .1$.
Proof. We use induction on $c$. For $c=0, z_{0, c}^{\ell}=b_{i, l}=\tilde{f}_{i, l} .1 \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$.
For $c>0$, by definition we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(c)}=\sum_{k=0}^{c} F_{j}^{(k)} z_{k, c} \\
\Rightarrow z_{0, c}=\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{c} \cdot 1-\sum_{k=1}^{c} F_{j}^{(k)} z_{k, c} \\
=\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{c} \cdot 1-\sum_{k=1}^{c} F_{j}^{(k)} v^{k(c-k-\ell)} z_{0, c-k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $z_{0, c-k} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}, F_{j}^{(k)} z_{0, c-k}=\tilde{f}_{j}^{k} \cdot z_{0, c-k}$.
Thus we have

$$
z_{0, c}=\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{c} \cdot 1-\sum_{k=1}^{c} v^{k(c-k-\ell)} \tilde{f}_{j}^{k} . z_{0, c-k}
$$

$v^{k(c-k-l)} \tilde{f}_{j}^{k} z_{0, c-k} \in v^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ because $k(c-k-l)<0$ for $0<k \leq c \leq l$ and $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ is stable under $\tilde{f}_{j}^{k}$. Thus $z_{0, c} \equiv \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{c} .1$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

Now we prove a particular identity involving quantum binomial coefficients that occurs in the proof of Lemma 11.
Lemma 16. For $r \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, the following quantum binomial identity holds

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{r} v^{-k r}(-1)^{k-r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k+r \\
n
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n+1 \\
k
\end{array}\right]=v^{-r(n+r+1)}
$$

Proof. We use induction on $r$ to show this claim, where the identity for $r=0$ is obvious.
First we note for all $r, n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{r} v^{-k r}(-1)^{k-r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k+r \\
n
\end{array}\right] v^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n \\
k
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{[n+r]!}{[n]![r]!} \sum_{k=0}^{r} v^{-k(r-1)}(-1)^{k-r}\left[\begin{array}{l}
r \\
k
\end{array}\right]=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality follows from a well known quantum identity.
By the Pascal identity, which states

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n+1 \\
k
\end{array}\right]=v^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n \\
k
\end{array}\right]+v^{-r-n+k-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
r+n \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

we see that the original summation identity is equivalent to considering

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=1}^{r} v^{-k r}(-1)^{k-r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k+r \\
n
\end{array}\right] v^{-r-n+k-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
r+n \\
k-1
\end{array}\right] \\
=v^{-r-n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{r} v^{-k(r-1)}(-1)^{k-r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k+r \\
n
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n \\
k-1
\end{array}\right] \\
=v^{-r-n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} v^{-(j+1)(r-1)}(-1)^{j+1-r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-j+r-1 \\
n
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n \\
j
\end{array}\right] \\
=v^{-r-n-1-(r-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} v^{-j(r-1)}(-1)^{j-(r-1)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-j+r-1 \\
n
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n \\
j
\end{array}\right] \\
=v^{-r-n-1-(r-1)} \cdot v^{-(r-1)(n+(r-1)+1)}=v^{(-r(n+r+1))},
\end{gathered}
$$

where the penultimate equality follows from the inductive hypothesis.

Proof of Lemma 11. We have by Lemma 7

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1+n} \cdot 1=b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(l+1+n)}=\sum_{t=0}^{l}(-1)^{t+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-t \\
n
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(l+n+1-t)} b_{i, l} F_{j}^{(t)} \\
=\sum_{t=0}^{l}(-1)^{t+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-t \\
n
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(l+1+n-t)} \sum_{k=0}^{t} F_{j}^{(k)} z_{k, t} \\
=\sum_{t=0}^{l} \sum_{k=0}^{t}(-1)^{t+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-t \\
n
\end{array}\right] F_{j}^{(l+1+n-t+k)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+1+n-t+k \\
k
\end{array}\right] z_{k, t} \\
=\sum_{s=n+1}^{l+1+n} F_{j}^{(s)} \sum_{k=0}^{s-n-1}(-1)^{l+1+n+k-s+l}\left[\begin{array}{c}
l+n-(l+1+n+k-s) \\
n
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
s \\
k
\end{array}\right] z_{k, l+1+n+k-s} \\
=\sum_{r=0}^{l} F_{j}^{(r+n+1)} z_{0, l-r}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{r} v^{-k r}(-1)^{k-r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-k+r \\
n
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
r+n+1 \\
k
\end{array}\right]\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 16, the above equals

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{l} v^{-r(n+r+1)} F_{j}^{(r+n+1)} z_{0, l-r}
$$

Recall by Lemma 15 that $z_{0, l-r} \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ for $0 \leq r \leq \ell$. Since $-r(n+r+1)<0$ for $0<r \leq l$, we have $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1+n} .1 \equiv F_{j}^{(n+1)} z_{0, l}=\tilde{f}_{j}^{n+1} z_{0, l}$. By Lemma $15, z_{0, l} \equiv \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} .1$, thus we have $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1+n} .1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{j}^{n+1} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} .1$. This is precisely the equality we want for $n=0$. If $n>0$, then similarly we have $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} \cdot 1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{j}^{n} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} .1$. Composing with another $\tilde{f}_{j}$ on the left, we get $\tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} .1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{j}^{n+1} \tilde{f}_{i, l} f_{j}^{l} .1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1+n} .1$ as desired.
4.2. Validity of Crystal Serre Relations. Having proven that $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1+n} \cdot 1=\tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} .1$, we extend this equality to show for any $k \in \mathcal{L}(\infty), \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} \cdot k \equiv \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1} . k$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

Lemma 17. Let $z \in \mathcal{K}_{j} \subseteq U^{-}$and let $x \in U^{-}$. Then $\tilde{f}_{j} \cdot(x z)=\left(\tilde{f}_{j} \cdot x\right) z$ and $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \cdot(x z)=\left(\tilde{f}_{i, l} \cdot x\right) z$ for all $l$.
Proof. By Lemma 1, write $x$ as $\sum_{n} F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}$ where all $z_{n}$ lie in $\mathcal{K}_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{j}(x z)=\tilde{f}_{j} \cdot \sum_{n} F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n} z=\sum_{n} F_{j}^{(n+1)} z_{n} z \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ is closed under multiplication. But Equation (7)

$$
=\left(\sum_{n} F_{j}^{(n+1)} z_{n}\right) z=\left(\tilde{f}_{j} \cdot x\right) z .
$$

The equality $\tilde{f}_{i, l}(x z)=\left(\tilde{f}_{i, l} \cdot x\right) z$ follows from the associativity of $U^{-}$as an algebra, as the left action of $\tilde{f}_{i, l}$ is simply left multiplication by $b_{i, l}$.
Corollary 18. $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ is stable under right multiplication by elements in $\mathcal{K}_{j} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)$.
Proof. Let $k \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ and $z \in \mathcal{K}_{j} \cap \mathcal{L}(\infty)$. Decomposing $k$ into the sum of sequences of Kashiwara operators applied to 1 , it suffices to show the statement holds for $\tilde{f}_{\gamma} .1$ where $\gamma$ is a sequence of elements of $I_{\infty}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\gamma}$ denotes the associated sequence of Kashiwara operators. By Lemma 17, we can write $\left(\tilde{f}_{\gamma} \cdot 1\right) z=\tilde{f}_{\gamma}(z)$, which is in $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ since $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ is stable under Kashiwara operators.

Corollary 19. When $z \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ is written as $\sum_{n=0}^{k} F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}$ for $z_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}$, then in fact $z_{n} \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ for all $n$.
Proof. We use induction on $k$. If $k=0$, then clearly $z=z_{0} \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$.
For the inductive step, we consider $\tilde{e}_{j}^{k} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{k} F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}=z_{k} \in \mathcal{L}(\infty)$, as $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ is stable under $\tilde{e}_{j}$. The result follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to $z-F_{j}^{(k)} z_{k}$.
Theorem 20. For all $k \in \mathcal{L}(\infty), \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1} . k \equiv \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} \cdot k$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.
Proof. By Lemma 11, we know $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n+1} .1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} .1$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ for any $n \geq 0$. Thus we can write $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n+1} .1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} .1+\alpha$ where $\alpha \in v^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\infty)$.

Writing $k$ as $\sum_{n} F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}$ for $z_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}$, by linearity it suffices to show that $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+1} \cdot F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n} \equiv \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l}, \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} \cdot F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$. This is equivalent to showing that for any $n$, $\tilde{f}_{i, l}, \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n+1} . z_{n} \equiv \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l}, \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} . z_{n}$. Since $z_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}$, by Lemma 17, $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n+1} . z_{n}=\left(\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n+1} .1\right) z_{n}=\left(\tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} .1+\alpha\right) z_{n}=\tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} . z_{n}+\alpha z_{n}$. By Lemma 19, $z_{n} \in \mathcal{L}(\infty) \cap \mathcal{K}_{j}$. Thus by Lemma $18, \alpha z_{n} \in v^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\infty)$ so $\alpha z \equiv 0$. Thus $\tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n+1} . z_{n} \equiv \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{i, l} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l+n} . z_{n}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$, and the theorem follows.

### 4.3. Kashiwara Operators for Non-adjacent Vertices Commute.

Lemma 21. Let $\iota, \iota^{\prime} \in I_{\infty}$ be such that $\left(\iota, \iota^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then for all $u \in U^{-}, \tilde{f}_{\iota} \tilde{f}_{\iota^{\prime}} \cdot u=\tilde{f}_{\iota^{\prime}} \tilde{f}_{\iota} \cdot u$.
First consider the case where both $\iota \iota^{\prime} \in I^{\text {im }}$. Since $\left(\iota, \iota^{\prime}\right)=0,\left[b_{\iota}, b_{\iota^{\prime}}\right]=0$, and the lemma follows trivially from the fact that the action of $\tilde{f}_{\iota}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\iota^{\prime}}$ are simply left multiplication by $b_{\iota}$ and $b_{\iota^{\prime}}$ respectively. If exactly one of $\iota, \iota^{\prime} \in I^{i m}$, without loss of generality assume $\iota \in I^{i m}$ and let $\iota^{\prime}:=j \in I^{r e}$. Then write $u=\sum F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}$ for $z_{n} \in K_{j}$. Then

$$
\tilde{f}_{\iota} \tilde{f}_{j} \cdot u=\sum b_{\iota} F_{j}^{(n+1)} z_{n}=\sum F_{j}^{(n+1)} b_{\iota} z_{n},
$$

because $\left[F_{j}, b_{\iota}\right]=0$ as $(\iota, j)=0$. Also, $b_{\iota} z_{n} \in K_{j}$ because $K_{j}$ is a subalgebra of $U^{-}$, and thus the above summation equals

$$
\sum F_{j}^{(n+1)} b_{\iota} z_{n}=\tilde{f}_{j} \sum F_{j}^{(n)} b_{\iota} z_{n}=\tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{\iota} \cdot u
$$

It remains to prove Lemma 21 for the case where $\iota, \iota^{\prime} \in I^{r e}$. For the rest of this section, let $\iota=j, \iota^{\prime}=k$ where $j, k \in I^{r e}$.
Lemma 22. $\left[e_{j}^{\prime}, e_{k}^{\prime}\right]=0$.
Proof of Lemma 22. It suffices to show that $e_{j}^{\prime}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}, \ldots, \iota_{n}}\right)\right)=e_{k}^{\prime}\left(e_{j}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}, \ldots, \iota_{n}}\right)\right)$ for any sequence $\left(\iota_{1}, \ldots, \iota_{n}\right)$ where all $\iota_{t} \in I_{\infty}$ and $\iota_{t}=\left(i_{t}, c_{t}\right)$ for $i_{t} \in I$, where $b_{\iota_{1}, \ldots, \iota_{n}}=b_{\iota_{1}} \cdots b_{\iota_{n}}$.

Denote $b_{\iota_{2}, \ldots, \iota_{n}}$ by $\alpha$. Then by Property (1) of $e_{j}^{\prime}$ and $e_{k}^{\prime}$ mentioned in Section 3.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{j}^{\prime}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}, \ldots, \iota_{n}}\right)\right)=e_{j}^{\prime}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right) \alpha+v^{\left(-k,-c_{1} i_{1}\right)} b_{\iota_{1}} e_{k}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)=e_{j}^{\prime}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right) \alpha\right)+v^{\left(-k,-c_{1} i_{1}\right)} e_{j}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}} e_{k}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right) \\
= & e_{j}^{\prime}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right)\right) \alpha+v^{\left(-j,\left|e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right)\right|\right)} e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right) e_{j}^{\prime}(\alpha)+v^{\left(-k,-c_{1} i_{1}\right)}\left(e_{j}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right) e_{k}^{\prime}(\alpha)+v^{\left(-j,-c_{1} i_{1}\right)} b_{\iota_{1}} e_{j}^{\prime}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $e_{k}^{\prime}$ is a homogeneous operator of degree $k$, we have that $\left|e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right)\right|=-c_{1} i_{1}+k$. Thus $\left(-j,-c_{1} i_{1}+k\right)=$ $\left(-j,-c_{1} i_{1}\right)$ since $(j, k)=0$.

The middle two terms of the expression are symmetric in $j$ and $k$ because $(j, k)=0 . \quad e_{j}^{\prime}\left(e_{k}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right)\right)=$ $e_{k}^{\prime}\left(e_{j}^{\prime}\left(b_{\iota_{1}}\right)\right)$ for degree reasons. The last term is symmetric in $j$ and $k$ because we can assume by induction on $n$, the length of the product, that $e_{j}^{\prime}$ and $e_{k}^{\prime}$ commute on $\alpha$. Thus the entire expression is symmetric in $j$ and $k$, and thus $e_{j}^{\prime}$ and $e_{k}^{\prime}$ commute.

It follows that $\mathcal{K}_{j}$ is stable under the $e_{k}^{\prime}$ operator and $\mathcal{K}_{k}$ is stable under the $e_{j}^{\prime}$ operator.
Lemma 23. Given $z \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$, writing $z=\sum_{n=0}^{d} F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}$ where $z_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}$, then $z_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$.
Proof. By induction on $d$, it suffices to check $z_{d} \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$. because $F_{j}$, and then also $F_{j}^{(d)} z_{d}$, is in $K_{k}$ It follows from Lemma 22 that $e_{j}^{\prime d}(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$. But it is also clear from the fact that $e_{j}^{\prime}$ has the skew derivation property (1) given in Section 2 that $e_{j}^{\prime d}(z)=c z_{d}$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}(v)$ a non-zero constant, and the claim follows.

Proof of Lemma 21. Let $u \in U^{-}$. Then write $u=\sum_{n} F_{j}^{(n)} z_{n}$ with $z_{n} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}$ and write each $z_{n}=\sum_{m} F_{k}^{(m)} z_{n, m}$ with $z_{n, m} \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$. By Lemma 23, $z_{n, m} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}$ as well. Because $z_{n, m} \in \mathcal{K}_{k} \cap \mathcal{K}_{j}, \tilde{f}_{j} \tilde{f}_{k} \cdot u=\sum_{n, m} F_{j}^{(n+1)} F_{k}^{(m+1)} z_{n, m}$ since $F_{j} \in \mathcal{K}_{k}$ and $F_{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{j}$. But because $\left[F_{j}, F_{k}\right]=0$, we get that

$$
\sum_{n, m} F_{j}^{(n+1)} F_{k}^{(m+1)} z_{n, m}=\sum_{n, m} F_{k}^{(m+1)} F_{j}^{(n+1)} z_{n, m}=\tilde{f}_{k} \tilde{f}_{j} \cdot u
$$

as needed.

## 5. Sufficiency of Commutation and Crystal Serre Relations

In this section we finish the proof of Theorem 5 by showing that the commutation and crystal Serre relations proved in Section 3 imply all equivalences $\tilde{f}_{\iota_{1}} \ldots \tilde{f}_{\iota_{n}} .1 \equiv \tilde{f}_{\iota_{1}^{\prime}} \ldots \tilde{f}_{\iota_{m}^{\prime}} .1$ in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ for the quiver $Q(\omega, r)$ with $\omega>1$ and $r \geq 0$. To achieve this we give a combinatorial analysis of Bozec's formula for the graded dimension of $U^{-}$.
5.1. Character Formula. Similar to the classical case, in [2] Bozec gives an explicit character formula for the graded dimension of the algebra $U^{-}$associated to any finite quiver $Q$. The formula and its proof are analogous to the case of Kac-Moody algebras, for example in $\S 11.13$ of [6]. We state here this character formula in the special case of the quiver $Q(\omega, r)$ for $\omega>1, r \geq 0$, which we denote as Ch $U^{-}$.

Fix $r \geq 0$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, y$ be commuting indeterminates, let $R:=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$, let $\mathcal{P}(R)$ denote the powerset of $R$, and for a subset $S \subset R$ let $\pi(S)$ denote the product of all elements in $S$. Then define

$$
\left(\mathrm{Ch} U^{-}\right)^{-1}:=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(R)}(-1)^{|p|} \pi(p)\left(1-\frac{\pi(p) y}{1-\pi(p) y}\right)
$$

The coefficient of $y^{n} x_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots x_{r}^{m_{r}}$ in the power series $\mathrm{Ch} U^{-}$gives the number of elements in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ of degree $-n i-m_{1} j_{1}-\ldots-m_{r} j_{r}$ for the quiver $Q(\omega, r)$ for any $\omega>1$.

### 5.2. A Combinatorial Description.

Definition 24. Let $\vec{\iota}$ be a finite sequence of elements of $I_{\infty} . \vec{\iota}$ is called steep if it is of the following form:

$$
\vec{\iota}=\left(j_{1}^{p_{0,1}}, \ldots, j_{r}^{p_{0, r}},\left(i, c_{1}\right), j_{1}^{p_{1,1}}, \ldots, j_{r}^{p_{1, r}},\left(i, c_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(i, c_{n}\right), j_{1}^{p_{n, 1}}, \ldots j_{r}^{p_{n, r}}\right),
$$

where the notation $j_{k}^{p_{i, k}}$ indicates $p_{i, k}$ successive occurrences of $j_{k}$, and where $c_{i} \geq p_{i, k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq r$.
Definition 25. Given a sequence $\vec{\iota}=\left(\iota_{1}, \ldots, \iota_{n}\right)$ of elements of $I_{\infty}$, define $\tilde{f}_{\vec{\iota}}:=\tilde{f}_{\iota_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{f}_{\iota_{n}}$.
Lemma 26. For any finite sequence $\gamma$ of elements of $I_{\infty}$, there is a steep sequence $\gamma^{\prime}$ such that $\tilde{f}_{\gamma} \equiv \tilde{f}_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

Proof. The lemma follows from the commutation relations and the crystal Serre relations proved in Section 3.

Definition 27. The degree of a sequence $\vec{\imath}=\left(\iota_{1}, \ldots, \iota_{n}\right)$ of elements of $I_{\infty}$ is $|\vec{\imath}|:=-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\iota_{k}\right|$, the degree of the associated composition of Kashiwara operators $\tilde{f}_{\vec{\imath}}$.

Lemma 28. The number of steep sequences of a given degree $\mu$ is equal to $\# \mathcal{B}(\infty)[\mu]$.
Proof. We derive a recursion for the coefficients of $\mathrm{Ch} U^{-}$and show that the number of steep sequences of a particular degree also satisfy the same recursion and initial values.

Notice that $r \mathrm{Ch} U^{-}=\mathrm{Ch} U^{-}-1$ where

$$
r=1-\left(\operatorname{Ch} U^{-}\right)^{-1}=\frac{y}{1-y}-\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}(R) \backslash \emptyset}(-1)^{|p|} \pi(p)\left(1-\frac{\pi(p) y}{1-\pi(p) y}\right)
$$

The equality $r \operatorname{Ch} U^{-}=\mathrm{Ch} U^{-}-1$ can be interpreted as a recursion on the coefficients of the power series because $r$ has zero constant term. We let $c(n, \vec{m}):=c\left(n, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ denote the coefficient of $y^{n} x_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdots x_{r}^{m_{r}}$ in $\mathrm{Ch} U^{-}$. We now make this recursion explicit. Note

$$
r=\sum_{k \geq 1} y^{k}-\sum_{p \in P(R) \backslash \emptyset}(-1)^{|p|} \pi(p)+\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p \in P(R) \backslash \emptyset}(-1)^{|p|} \pi(p)^{k+1} y^{k}
$$

For $p \in \mathcal{P}(R)$, let $\vec{p}$ denote the tuple $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}\right)$ where $\delta_{i}=1$ if $x_{i} \in p$ and 0 otherwise. Then $r \mathrm{Ch}^{-}=$ Ch $U^{-}-1$ implies for $(n, \vec{m}) \neq(0,0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(n, \vec{m})=\sum_{k \geq 1} c(n-k, \vec{m})-\sum_{p \in P(R) \backslash \emptyset}(-1)^{|p|} c(n, \vec{m}-\vec{p})+\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p \in P(R) \backslash \emptyset}(-1)^{|p|} c(n-k, \vec{m}-(k+1) \vec{p}) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $c(0, \overrightarrow{0})=1$, and for negative $n$ or negative $m_{i}, c(n, \vec{m})=0$. Thus the number of steep sequences of a particular degree satisfies the same intial values.

We explain why the recursion given in Equation (8) also gives a recursion for the number of steep sequences of a given degree. There are 2 cases to consider. In the first case, the sequence ends with $\tilde{f}_{j_{i}}$ for some $r \geq i \geq 1$. Since the $\tilde{f}_{j_{i}}$ commute by Lemma 21 , the number of sequences ending with a $\tilde{f}_{j_{i}}$ can be counted with the principle of inclusion-exclusion, noting that if a steep sequence ends with $\tilde{f}_{j_{i}}$ then it remains steep. This corresponds to

$$
-\sum_{p \in P(R) \backslash \emptyset}(-1)^{|p|} c(n, \vec{m}-\vec{p}) .
$$

If the sequence ends with a $\tilde{f}_{i, l}$, which is a disjoint condition from ending with an $\tilde{f}_{j_{i}}$, this corresponds to

$$
\sum_{k \geq 1} c(n-k, \vec{m})
$$

However, we must also subtract the steep sequences to which concatenating a $\tilde{f}_{i, l}$ makes the sequence not steep. Such sequences end with $\tilde{f}_{j_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots \tilde{f}_{j_{r}}^{p_{r}}$ where for at least 1 index $k, p_{k}>l$. Such sequences are counted by

$$
\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{p \in P(R) \backslash \emptyset}(-1)^{|p|} c(n-k, \vec{m}-(k+1) \vec{p})
$$

The recursion in Equation (8) follows. Since the number of steep sequences satisfy the same base case and recursion as $\mathrm{Ch} U^{-}$, we have shown the lemma.

We can now finish the proof of our main theorem (Theorem 5):
Proof of Theorem 5. We saw in Section 3 that the commutation and crystal Serre relations of Theorem 5 hold. It follows, as in Lemma 26, that every element $\tilde{f}_{\vec{\imath}} \cdot 1$ of $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ is equal by a series of applications of the commutation and crystal Serre relations to an element $\tilde{f}_{\vec{\imath}} \cdot 1$ for a steep sequence $\vec{\imath}$. It follows by Lemma 28 that, for any degree $\mu$, the elements $\tilde{f}_{\vec{\imath}} \cdot 1$ for $\vec{\imath}$ a steep sequence of degree $\mu$ are pairwise inequivalent and comprise every element of $\mathcal{B}(\infty)[\mu]$. The theorem follows.

## References

[1] T. Bozec. Quivers with loops and perverse sheaves. Mathematische Annalen, 1-25, 2013.
[2] T. Bozec. Addendum to 'quivers with loops and perverse sheaves'. arXiv: 1509.09302v1, 2015.
[3] T. Bozec. Quivers with loops and generalized crystals. arXiv: 1403.0846v3, 2014.
[4] T. Hausel, E. Letellier, F. Rodriguez-Villegas. Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties II. Adv. Math., 234:85128, 2013.
[5] J. Hong, H. Lee. Young tableaux and crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ for finite simple Lie algebras. Journal of Algebra, 320:3680-3693, 2008.
[6] V. Kac. Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras Cambridge University Press, 1994.
[7] M. Kashiwara. On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras. Duke Math. J. 63 (1991), no. 2, $465-516$.
[8] H. Lee. Realizations of the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ using Young tableaux and Young walls. J. of Algebra 308 (2) (2007) 780-799.
[9] H. Lee. Extended Nakajima monomials and crystal $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ for finite simple Lie algebras. J. of Algebra 314 (1) (2007) 439-470.
[10] G. Lusztig. Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 4(2):365-421, 1991.
[11] D. Maulik, A. Okounkov. Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology arXiv: 1211.1287, 2012.
[12] H. Nakajima. Quiver varieties and branching. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 5:Paper 003, 37, 2009.


[^0]:    Date: December 13, 2015.

