Langlands parameters and finite-dimensional representations

David Vogan

Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

March 21, 2016

Outline

What Langlands can do for you

Representations of compact Lie groups

Representations of finite Chevalley groups

Representations of p-adic maximal compacts

Old reasons for listening to Langlands

GL_n everybody's favorite reductive group/local F.

Want to understand $\widehat{GL_n(F)}$ = set of irr repns (hard).

Classical approach (Harish-Chandra *et alia* 1950s):

1. find big compact subgp $K \subset GL_n(F)$;
2. understand $\widehat{K}$ (supposed to be easy?)
3. understand reps of $GL_n(F)$ in terms of restriction to K.

Langlands (1960s) studies $\widehat{GL_n(F)}$ (global reasons).

Global suggests: $\widehat{GL_n(F)} \overset{\approx}{\leftrightsquigarrow}$ n-diml reps of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$.

Better: $\widehat{GL_n(F)} \overset{\approx}{\leftrightsquigarrow}$ n-diml reps of Weil group of F.

Harris/Taylor: $\widehat{GL_n(F)}$. $\overset{\text{bij}}{\leftrightsquigarrow}$ n-diml of Weil-Deligne(F).

Meanwhile (Howe *et alia* 1970s...) continue $GL_n(F)|_K$.

One difficulty (of many): $\widehat{K}$ not so easy after all.

First question: what's Langlands tell us about $\widehat{K}$?

Representations of compact Lie groups

This is introduction number two.

Suppose K is a compact Lie group.

Famous false fact: we understand $\widehat{K}$.

Proof we don't: $O(n) =$ maximal compact in $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Fix irreducible $\tau \in \widehat{O(n)}$.

How do you write down τ? ("Highest weight??")

How do you calculate mult of τ in principal series?

Second question is branching $O(n)|_{O(1)^n}$.

Today: $\widehat{O(n)} \leftrightsquigarrow$ temp irr of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$/unram twist

$\leftrightsquigarrow$ certain Langlands parameters...

Second question: what's Langlands tell us about $\widehat{K}$?

Representations of finite Chevalley groups

This is introduction number three.

Suppose G is a reductive group defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Deligne-Lusztig and Lusztig described irr reps of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Can their results be formulated in spirit of Langlands?

Deligne-Lusztig start with ratl max torus $T \subset G$, char

$$\theta \colon T(\mathbb{F}_q) \to \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Lusztig: $(T, \theta) \rightsquigarrow$ semisimple conj class $x \in {}^\vee G(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

This is a step in the right direction, but not quite a Langlands classification.

Third question: what's Langlands tell us about $\widehat{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}$?

Structure of compact conn Lie grps

K compact connected Lie $\supset T$ maximal torus.

$X^*(T) =_{\text{def}}$ lattice of chars $\lambda\colon T \to S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$
$X_*(T) =_{\text{def}}$ lattice of cochars $\xi\colon S^1 \to T$.

Adjoint rep of T on cplx Lie algebra decomposes

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in X^*(T)\setminus\{0\}} \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C},\alpha};$$

defines finite set $R = R(K,T)$ of roots of T in K.

Each root α gives rise to root TDS

$$\phi_\alpha\colon SU(2) \to K, \quad \operatorname{im} d\phi_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C},\alpha} + \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C},-\alpha}$$

defined up to conjugation by T.

$\phi_\alpha|_{\text{diagonal}} \rightsquigarrow \alpha^\vee\colon S^1 \to K$ coroot for α. Get

$$R^\vee = R^\vee(K,T) \subset X_*(T),$$

(finite set in bijection with R) coroots of T in K.

We do understand compact conn Lie grps

Saw: cpt conn Lie $K \supset T$ max torus $\rightsquigarrow (X^*, R, X_*, R^\vee)$: dual lattices (X^*, X_*), finite subsets $(R, R^\vee)$ in bijection.

Pair $(\alpha, \alpha^\vee) \rightsquigarrow$

$$s_\alpha \colon X^* \to X^*, \quad s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha, \quad s_{\alpha^\vee} = {}^t s_\alpha \colon X_* \to X_*.$$

PROPERTIES: for all $\alpha \in R$

1. RD1: $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$ (so $s_\alpha^2 = \mathrm{Id}$)
2. RD2: $s_\alpha R = R$, $s_\alpha^\vee R^\vee = R^\vee$, $(s_\alpha \beta)^\vee = s_{\alpha^\vee}(\beta^\vee)$
3. RDreduced: $2\alpha \notin R$, $2\alpha^\vee \notin R^\vee$.

Axioms $\leftrightsquigarrow$ root datum; $W = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R \rangle$ = Weyl group.

Root datum is based if we fix $(R^+, R^{\vee,+})$ (pos roots).

Axioms symm in X^*, X_*: $(X_*, R^\vee, X^*, R)$ = dual root datum.

Theorem (Grothendieck)

1. Each root datum $\leftrightsquigarrow$ unique cpt conn Lie grp.
2. $k = \overline{k}$: root datum $\leftrightsquigarrow$ unique conn reductive alg grp $/k$.
3. $k \neq \overline{k}$: red alg grp $/k \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \curvearrowright$ based root datum.

Introduction
Compact Lie
Finite Chevalley
Compact p-adic

Representations of compact conn Lie grps

Recall cpt conn Lie $K \supset T$ max torus $\rightsquigarrow (X^*, R, X_*, R^\vee)$.

$$(X^*, R, X_*, R^\vee) \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{complex conn reductive alg}$$
$$= \mathrm{Spec}(K\text{-finite functions on } K)$$

$K =$ max compact subgp of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$.

irr reps of K = irr alg reps of $K_{\mathbb{C}} = X^*/W$.

${}^\vee K =_{\text{def}}$ cplx alg group $\leftrightsquigarrow (X_*, R^\vee, X^*, R)$ cplx dual gp.

Theorem (Cartan-Weyl)

1. $\widehat{K} \leftrightarrow \left(\text{homs } \phi_c \colon S^1 \to {}^\vee K\right) / ({}^\vee K\text{-conj})$, $\quad E(\phi_c) \leftrightarrow \phi_c$.
2. Each side is X^*/W.

Theorem (Zhelobenko) Write $\widehat{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$ = cont irr reps of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$

1. $\widehat{K_{\mathbb{C}}} \leftrightarrow (\text{homs } \phi \colon \mathbb{C}^\times \to {}^\vee K) / ({}^\vee K\text{-conj})$, $\quad X(\phi) \leftrightarrow \phi$.
2. $X(\phi)|_K \approx \mathrm{Ind}_T^K(\mathbb{C}_{\phi|_{S^1}})$.
3. $E(\phi|_{S^1})$ = lowest K-type of $X(\phi)$.

Langlands classification for real groups

G complex reductive alg group, $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$. Fix

inner class of real forms $\sigma =$ action $\Gamma \curvearrowright$ (based root datum).

Definition Cartan involution for σ is inv alg aut θ of G such that $\sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$ is compact real form of G.

inner class of real forms $\sigma =$ inner class of alg invs θ.

Definition L-group for $(G, \{\sigma\})$ is ${}^L G =_{\text{def}} {}^\vee G \rtimes \Gamma$.

Definition Weil grp $W_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mathbb{C}^\times, j \rangle$, $1 \to \mathbb{C}^\times \to W_{\mathbb{R}} \to \Gamma \to 1$.

Definition Langlands param $= \Big(\underbrace{\phi\colon W_{\mathbb{R}} \to {}^L G}_{\text{ss image, respect } \Gamma} \Big) / \text{ conj by } {}^\vee G$.

Theorem (Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman)

1. Param $\phi \rightsquigarrow$ L-packet $\Pi(\phi)$ of reps $\pi_j \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_j)}$.
2. L-packets disjoint; cover all reps of all real forms.
3. $\Pi(\phi)$ indexed by $\widehat{\left({}^\vee G^\phi / {}^\vee G_0^\phi\right)}$.
4. (3) is (correctably) false. See Adams-Barbasch-Vogan.

Langlands classification for real max cpts

G cplx reductive endowed with inner class of real forms $\sigma \leftrightsquigarrow$ inner class of alg invs θ; ${}^L G = L$-group.

$K = G^\theta =$ cplxified max cpt of $G(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$.

Defn Compact Weil grp $W_{\mathbb{R},c} = \langle S^1, j \rangle$, $1 \to S^1 \to W_{\mathbb{R},c} \to \Gamma \to 1$.

Defn Compact param $= \Big(\underbrace{\phi_c \colon W_{\mathbb{R},c} \to {}^L G}_{\text{respect } \Gamma} \Big) /$ conj by ${}^\vee G$.

Theorem.

1. Param $\phi_c \rightsquigarrow L_c$-pkt $\Pi_c(\phi_c)$ of irr reps μ_j of $K_j = G_j^\theta$.
2. L_c-packets disjoint; cover all reps of all $K = G^\theta$.
3. $\Pi_c(\phi_c)$ indexed by $\left({}^\vee G^{\phi_c} / {}^\vee G_0^{\phi_c}\right)\widehat{}$.
4. $\{$lowest K-types of all $\pi \in \Pi(\phi)\} = \Pi_c(\phi|_{W_{\mathbb{R},c}})$.
5. (3) is (correctably) false. . .

Example of O_{2n}

$G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$, ${}^L G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \times \Gamma$.

Cartan involution is $\theta g = {}^t g^{-1}$, $K = O_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Recall $W_{\mathbb{R},c} = \langle S^1, j \rangle$, $je^{i\theta}j^{-1} = e^{-i\theta}$, $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$.

Theorem says $\widehat{O_{2n}} \leftrightsquigarrow 2n$-diml reps of $W_{\mathbb{R},c}$.

Irr reps of $W_{\mathbb{R},c}$ are

1. 1-diml trivial rep $\delta_+(e^{i\theta}) = 1, \quad \delta_+(j) = 1$.
2. 1-diml sign rep $\delta_-(e^{i\theta}) = 1, \quad \delta_-(j) = -1$.
3. For $m > 0$ integer, 2-dimensional representation

$$\tau_m(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{im\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-im\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_m(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ (-1)^m & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

n-dimensional rep $\leftrightsquigarrow$ pos ints $m_1 > \cdots > m_r > 0$, non-neg ints $(a_1, \ldots, a_r, p, q)$ so $2n = 2a_1 + \cdots + 2a_r + p + q$.

Rep is $a_1\tau_{m_1} + \cdots + a_r\tau_{m_r} + p\delta_+ q\delta_-$.

Highest weight for O_{2n} rep is

$$(\underbrace{m_1+1, \ldots, m_1+1}_{a_1 \text{ times}}, \ldots, \underbrace{m_r+1, \ldots, m_r+1}_{a_r \text{ times}}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{\min(p,q)}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{|q-p|/2}).$$

Finite Chevalley groups

$k = \mathbb{F}_q$ finite field; $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) = \varprojlim_m \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Generator is arithmetic Frobenius $F^* = q$th power map.

k-ratl form of conn reductive alg G = action of Γ on based root datum = fin order aut.

Definition L-group for G/k is ${}^LG =_{\text{def}} {}^\vee G \rtimes \Gamma$.

Here ${}^\vee G$ taken over $\mathbb{C}$, or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, or...: field for repns.

Definition Weil grp $W_k = \varprojlim_m \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^\times$; $W_k \to \Gamma$ trivial.

Definition Langlands param $= \Big(\underbrace{\rho\colon W_k \to {}^\vee G}_{\text{respect } \Gamma}\Big)/$ conj by ${}^\vee G$.

$\rho(W_k) \subset {}^\vee G$ (not ${}^LG$) since $W_k \to 1 \in \Gamma$.

Respect Γ = exists $f \in {}^LG$ mapping to F^*, $\mathrm{Ad}(f)\phi(\gamma) = \rho(F^*\gamma)$.

keep coset $f\,{}^\vee G_0^{\rho_c}$ as part of ρ_c.

Deligne-Langlands param $\phi = \big((\rho_\phi, N_\phi)\ \ (N \in {}^\vee\mathfrak{g}^{\rho_\phi}, \mathrm{Ad}(f)N = qN)\big)$.

Langlands parameters for $\mathbb{F}_q$

$G \supset B \supset T$ conn red alg $/\mathbb{F}_q$, $F\colon G \to G$ Frobenius.

Get Γ action on W permuting gens $\rightsquigarrow {}^\Gamma W = W \rtimes \Gamma$

$\tilde{w} = wF$ (another) Frobenius morphism $T \to T$.

Deligne-Lusztig built chars of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ from virt chars $R_{\theta'}^{T'}$: T' ratl maxl torus, θ' char of $T'(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Proposition. For any rational $= F$-stable max torus $T' \subset G$, $\exists!$ W-conj class of $\tilde{w}$ so $(T', F) \simeq (T, \tilde{w})$.

Prop (Macdonald) $\widehat{T^{\tilde{w}}} \simeq \{\rho\colon W_k \to {}^\vee T \mid wF^*\phi(\gamma) = \phi(F^*\gamma)\}$.

Conclusion: L-params ρ' for G = DL-pairs (T', θ').

$R_{\theta'}^{T'}$ and $R_{\theta''}^{T''}$ overlap $\iff$ ρ', ρ'' ${}^\vee G$-conjugate.

$\widehat{G(\mathbb{F}_q)}$ partitioned by Langlands parameters.

So far this is Deligne-Lusztig 1976: (relatively) easy.

Using Deligne-Langlands params to shrink L-pkts harder...

Lusztig's big orange book

$G \supset B \supset T$ conn red alg $/\mathbb{F}_q$, ${}^L G$ L-group.

Def $\phi = (\rho, N)$ special if $N \in {}^\vee\mathfrak{g}^\rho$ is special nilp.

Recall that ϕ remembers coset $f\,{}^\vee G_0^{\rho,N}$.

Theorem (Lusztig). Irreducible reps of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are partitioned into packets $\Pi(\phi)$ by special DL parameters ϕ. The packet $\Pi(\phi)$ is indexed by irr chars of Lusztig quotient of ${}^\vee G^\phi/{}^\vee G_0^\phi$.

Missing params (non-special N, comp rep not factoring) $\rightsquigarrow$ reps of smaller reductive groups.

Lifting finite to p-adic

$G \supset B \supset T$ conn red alg $/k = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Fix p-adic $F \supset \mathcal{O} \supset \mathcal{P}$, $\quad \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P} \simeq k$.

$\Gamma_F = \mathrm{Gal}\,\overline{F}/F$; $\quad 1 \to I_F \to \Gamma_F \to \Gamma_k \to 1$.

Weil group of F is preimage of $\mathbb{Z} = \langle F^* \rangle$, so

$$1 \to I_F \to W_F \to \langle F^* \rangle \to 1.$$

Set $P_F =$ wild ramif grp $\subset I_F$; then $I_F/P_F \simeq W_k$.

Fix p-adic $\mathbb{G} \leftrightsquigarrow$ based root datum of G/k, Γ_F acts via Γ_k.

G/k and $\mathbb{G}/F$ have same L-group ${}^L G$.

Prop L-params for $G/k =$ (tamely ramif params for $\mathbb{G}/F)|_{I_F}$.

Def cpt Weil grp $W_{F,c} =$ inertia subgroup I_F.

Def cpt param is $\rho_c \colon I_F \to {}^L G$ s.t. $\exists$ extn to L-param.

Extension to cpt Deligne-Langlands params $\phi_c = (\rho_c, N)$ easy.

Wild conjectures

$G/\overline{F}$ conn reduc alg, inner class of F-forms σ.

$\{K_j(\sigma)\}$ maxl cpt subgps of $G(F,\sigma)$.

${}^L G = {}^\vee G \rtimes \Gamma_F$ L-group for $(G, \{\sigma\})$.

Conjecture

1. Cpt DL param $\phi_c \rightsquigarrow L_c$-pkt of irr reps $\mu_j(\sigma)$ of $K_j(\sigma)$.
2. L_c packets are disjoint.
3. ϕ any ext of $\phi_c \rightsquigarrow \Pi_c(\phi_c) = \{\text{LKTs of all } \pi \in \Pi(\phi)\}$.
4. $\Pi_c(\phi_c)$ indexed by $\widehat{\left({}^\vee G^{\phi_c}/{}^\vee G_0^{\phi_c}\right)}$.
5. $\bigcup \Pi_c(\phi_c) = \text{all irrs} \supset$ Bushnell-Kutzko type.

NOTE: some $K_j \twoheadrightarrow G_j(\mathbb{F}_q)$, G_j smaller than G.

Corr reps should correspond to non-special N, etc.

Chance that this is formulated properly is near zero.

I know this because I'm teaching Bayesian inference this semester.

Hope that it's wrong in interesting ways.